

Published on Web 08/06/2010

## Arrested 1,2-Hydrogen Migration from Silicon to Nickel upon Oxidation of a Three-Coordinate Ni(I) Silyl Complex

Vlad M. Iluc and Gregory L. Hillhouse\*

Gordon Center for Integrative Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Received June 15, 2010; E-mail: g-hillhouse@uchicago.edu

**Abstract:** Reaction of the dimeric Ni(I) chloride complex [(dtbpe)-NiCl]<sub>2</sub> (1) with dimesityIsilyI potassium affords the three-coordinate Ni(I) silyI complex (dtbpe)Ni(SiHMes<sub>2</sub>) (2). Alternatively, 2 can be prepared by an oxidative-addition reaction of Mes<sub>2</sub>Si(H)OTf (Tf = CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) with the nickel(0) complex [(dtbpe)Ni]<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) (3), with (dtbpe)Ni(OTf) (4) formed as an easily separable byproduct. The one-electron oxidation of 2 by ferrocenium affords diamagnetic [(dtbpe)Ni( $\mu$ -H)SiMes<sub>2</sub>][BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (5), a Ni(II) complex formed by partial 1,2-H migration from silicon to nickel and featuring an unusual 3-center, 2-electron bonding motif between Ni, Si, and the bridging H. Complex 5 was also obtained from Mes<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub> activation by the neopentyl complex salt [(dtbpe)Ni(CH<sub>2</sub>CMe<sub>3</sub>)]-[BAr<sup>F</sup><sub>4</sub>] (6) with elimination of neopentane.

Silvlene complexes<sup>1</sup> have received considerable attention because of their participation in various transformations of organosilicon compounds, such as dehydrogenative coupling of hydrosilanes,<sup>2</sup> redistribution of substituents on silicon atoms,<sup>3</sup> Rochow's direct process,<sup>4</sup> and silvlene transfer to unsaturated organic compounds.<sup>5</sup> Several synthetic strategies have been employed in the formation of silvlene complexes, relying on abstraction of triflate<sup>6</sup> or chloride<sup>7</sup> from silicon to produce cationic complexes, coordination of photochemically generated silylenes,8 and, most interestingly, 1,2-hydrogen migrations.9 Intramolecular hydrogen migration from silicon to a late-transition metal is significant in understanding the rearrangements taking place in metal silvl complexes involved in catalysis,<sup>1b,10,11</sup> but only one discrete example has been reported for a group 10 metal, [(1,2-<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>)Pt(H)(SiMes<sub>2</sub>)][MeB(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>].<sup>12a</sup> DFT studies on a simplified model were inconclusive on whether hydrogen bridges Pt and Si or is present as a standard Pt hydride.<sup>12b</sup> Herein we describe an analogous, structurally characterized Ni complex in which the H atom unambiguously bridges the metal and silicon. This report offers insight into processes taking place during Si-H activation at metals and characterizes the bonding motif in this nonclassical nickel-silyl cation.

## Scheme 1



*Figure 1.* A perspective view of complex **2** (35% probability ellipsoids; H atoms except on Si omitted for clarity). Selected metrical parameters (distance, Å; angle, deg): Ni-Si = 2.3731(10), Ni-P(1) = 2.2502(10), Ni-P(2) = 2.2507(9), Si-H = 1.53(3); P(1)-Ni-P(2) = 90.60(3), P(1)-Ni-Si = 126.68(4), P(2)-Ni-Si = 138.75(4), Ni-Si-H = 110.0(12), Ni-Si-C(71) = 124.35(12), Ni-Si-C(81) = 112.88(11), C(71)-Si-C(81) = 104.65(15).

A Ni(I) silyl complex, in which one of the silyl substituents is hydrogen, was targeted as a precursor to a possible nickel-silylene fragment. The reaction between [(dtbpe)Ni( $\mu$ -Cl)]<sub>2</sub> (**1**, dtbpe = 1,2-Bu<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>2</sub>)<sup>13</sup> and Mes<sub>2</sub>SiHK (Mes = 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) allowed for the isolation of (dtbpe)Ni(SiHMes<sub>2</sub>) (**2**) as green dichroic crystals in 86% yield (Scheme 1). This method was higher yielding and had better reproducibility than the oxidative addition of Mes<sub>2</sub>Si(H)OTf (Tf = CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) to a nickel(0) complex, [(dtbpe)Ni]<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) (**3**, Scheme 1).<sup>14</sup> Although other silanes give arrested oxidative addition of the Si-H bond to the Ni(0) center,<sup>15</sup> two products were formed in the reaction of **3** with Mes<sub>2</sub>Si(H)OTf: (dtbpe)Ni(OTf) (**4**)<sup>13b</sup> and **2**.





Figure 2. A perspective view of the complex cation of 5 (35% probability ellipsoids; H atoms except on Si omitted for clarity). Select metrical data (distance, Å; angle, deg): Ni-Si = 2.147(2), Ni-H = 1.70(7), Ni-P(1) =2.189(2), Ni-P(2) = 2.254(2), Si-H = 1.64(7); P(1)-Ni-P(2) = 92.56(6), P(1)-Ni-Si = 115.17(7), P(2)-Ni-H = 103(2), Si-Ni-H = 49(2),Ni-Si-C(31) = 121.2(2), Ni-Si-C(41) = 124.5(2), C(31)-Si-C(41) = 114.3(3).

Complex 2 represents the first example of a three-coordinate Ni(I) silvl complex. The solid-state structure of 2 (Figure 1) features a trigonal-planar nickel center and tetrahedral silicon. The Ni-Si distance of 2.3731(10) Å is slightly longer than other reported Ni-Si bonds (2.21-2.30 Å),<sup>15,16</sup> presumably due to sterics. The hydrogen connected to the silicon atom was located in the electrondensity map at 1.50 Å from Si and refined isotropically. The assignment of a nickel(I) center is supported by the effective magnetic moment of 2.1  $\mu_{\rm B}$ , determined in solution (22 °C, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>), corresponding to one unpaired electron and consistent with a d<sup>9</sup> electronic configuration.

A cyclic voltammogram of 2 shows a quasi-reversible wave for the Ni(I)/Ni(II) couple at  $E_{1/2} = -0.48$  V (THF; vs Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe/Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sup>+</sup>). Oxidation of 2 with  $[Cp_2Fe][B(Ar^F)_4]$  (Scheme 1,  $Ar^F = 3.5$ - $(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$ ) allowed for the isolation of diamagnetic [(dtbpe)Ni( $\mu$ -H)SiMes<sub>2</sub>[[BAr $_{4}$ ] (5) in 85% yield. X-ray crystallography (Figure 2) reveals that a hydrogen atom is located in the P<sub>2</sub>NiSi plane of 5, bridging nickel and silicon (Ni-H = 1.70(7) Å, Si-H = 1.64(7)Å) and resulting in distorted square-planar coordination geometry at nickel. The Ni-Si distance (2.147(2) Å) is 9% shorter than the corresponding distance in 2 and is close in value to that reported for Ni silylene complexes ( $\sim 2.14$  Å).<sup>16d</sup> The {C(31), C(41), Si} plane is perpendicular to the {P(1), P(2), Ni} plane ( $\angle 87.75^{\circ}$ ). There are similarities in the structures of Ni(0) boryl complexes<sup>17a</sup> and a Mo hydrosilylene complex<sup>17b</sup> and **2**.

In agreement with its solid-state structure, features of the  $\mu$ -H resonance in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 5 are indicative of hydridic character. It appears at  $\delta$  -8.64 (dd,  $J_{\rm HP}$  = 4.8, 47.1 Hz) with a  $J_{\rm HP}$  smaller than those found in other  $d^8$  square-planar nickel hydrides.<sup>18</sup> In addition, the  $J_{\rm HSi}$  at 43.4 Hz is smaller than those in conventional hydrosilyls and hydrosilanes ( $\sim 60-150$  Hz).<sup>19</sup> The <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum shows a resonance at  $\delta$  292 (dd,  $J_{PSi} =$ 12, 146 Hz). Attempts to deprotonate 5 to give a silylene complex ((dtbpe)Ni=SiMes<sub>2</sub>) were unsuccessful, perhaps also reflective of its hydridic character.

Reaction of Mes<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub> with the neopentyl complex salt  $[(dtbpe)Ni(CH_2CMe_3)][BAr^F_4]$  (6; Scheme 1)<sup>13c</sup> also affords 5, indicating the structural motif found in 5 is not a consequence of peculiar reaction conditions in its synthesis. This likely proceeds



**Figure 3.** Frontier molecular  $\pi$ -symmetry orbitals for a hypothetical nickel silylene (dmpe)Ni=SiPh2 (left) and for the three-center bond of (dmpe)Ni(u-H)SiPh<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (right).

by silane coordination to the electrophilic Ni center,<sup>15</sup> replacing a weak C-H agostic interaction,<sup>13c</sup> to give an intermediate that undergoes intramolecular H-abstraction with neopentane elimination to generate 5. This metathesis route is attractive as it uses a secondary silane that is more accessible than Mes<sub>2</sub>SiHK and would appear to be the more general synthetic approach.

DFT calculations (B3LYP, LANL2DZ basis sets)<sup>20</sup> were carried out using a (dmpe)Ni( $\mu$ -H)(SiPh<sub>2</sub>)<sup>+</sup> model (dmpe = Me<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>) to understand the unusual Ni-H-Si bonding motif in 5 relative to the hypothetical parent silylene (dmpe)Ni(SiPh<sub>2</sub>) (Figure 3). The calculations indicate that the bridging hydrogen participates in a 3-center,  $2e^{-}$  bond using the 1s H orbital and the  $\pi$  orbital of the Ni=Si core to effectively give a "protonated" Ni=Si double bond, and an NBO analysis is consistent with this picture. Optimized metrical parameters for the (dmpe)Ni( $\mu$ -H)(SiPh<sub>2</sub>)<sup>+</sup> model (e.g., Ni-H = 1.731 Å, Si-H = 1.616 Å, Ni-Si = 2.177 Å) agree well with actual values observed in the structure of 5 and converge to the bridging structure from either initial hydrido silylene or  $\sigma$ -silyl models (see Supporting Information).

In conclusion, a new three-coordinate nickel(I) silvl complex was isolated and characterized. Its oxidation leads to a 1,2-hydrogen migration from silicon to nickel and gives an unusual cationic H-bridging species that features 3-center,  $2e^-$  bonding.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant CHE-0957816 to G.L.H.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic data of 2 and 5 (CIF). Synthetic and spectroscopic characterization of all complexes and computational information (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- (1) (a) Tilley, T. D. In The Chemistry of Organosilicon Compounds; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1989; p 1415. (b) Tilley, T. D. In The Silicon-Heteroatom Bond; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1991; p 245. (c) Waterman, R.; Hayes, P. G.; Tilley, T. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 712.
- Press: Greenwich, CT, 1991; Vol. 1, p 327. (b) Tilley, T. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 22. (c) Alcaraz, G.; Sabo-Etienne, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 2395. (a) Corey, J. Y. In Advances in Silicon Chemistry; Larson, G., Ed.; JAI
- (3) (a) Curtis, M. D.; Epstein, P. S. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 19, 213.
- (a) Cartis, M. D., Epstein, T. S. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1901, 17, 215.
   (b) Ojima, I.; Inaba, S.; Kogure, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 55, C.7.
   (a) Clarke, M. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 376, 165. (b) Clarke, M. P.; Davidson, I. M. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 408, 149. (c) Okamoto, M.; Onodera, S.; Okano, T.; Suzuki, E.; Ono, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 524, 67 1997, 531, 67.

## COMMUNICATIONS

- (5) (a) Yamashita, H.; Tanaka, M.; Goto, M. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3227.
  (b) Tanaka, Y.; Yamashita, H.; Tanaka, M. Organometallics 1995, 14, 530.
  (c) Palmer, W. S.; Woerpel, K. A. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4824.
  (6) (a) Straus, D. A.; Grumbine, S. D.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7801.
  (b) Grumbine, S. D.; Tilley, T. D.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am.
- Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 358. (c) Grumbine, S. D.; Mitchell, G. P.; Straus, D. A.; Tilley, T. D.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics 1998, 17, 5607
- (7) Wanandi, P. W.; Glaser, P. B.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 972
- (8) Feldman, J. D.: Mitchell, G. P.: Nölte, J.-O.: Tillev, T. D. J. Am. Chem. (9) (a) Peters, J. C.; Feldman, J. D.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 120 (1184).
   (9) (a) Peters, J. C.; Feldman, J. D.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999,
- 121, 9871. (b) Feldman, J. D.; Peters, J. C.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 2002, 21, 4065.
- (10) Sharma, H. K.; Pannell, K. H. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1351.
- (10) Shaina, H. K., Faineli, K. H. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1531.
   (11) (a) Mitchell, G. P.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 1996, 15, 3477. (b) Mitchell, G. P.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 7635. (c) Ueno, K.; Asami, S.; Watanabe, N.; Ogino, H. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1326.
   (12) (a) Mitchell, G. P.; Tilley, T. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2524. (b) Besora, M.; Maseras, F.; Lledos, A.; Eisenstein, O. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 2102.
- 41, 7105.
- (13) (a) Mindiola, D. J.; Waterman, R.; Jenkins, D. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. Inorg. *Chim. Acta* **2003**, *345*, 299. (b) Iluc, V. M.; Miller, A. J. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. Chem. Commun. **2005**, 5091. (c) Kitiachvili, K. D.; Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10554.

- (14) Bach, I.; Pörschke, K.-R.; Goddard, R.; Kopiske, C.; Krüger, C.; Rufinska, A.; Seevogel, K. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4959.
- (15) Iluc, V. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7577.
- (16) (a) Shimada, S.; Rao, M. L. N.; Hayashi, T.; Tanaka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 213. (b) Schmedake, T. A.; Haaf, M.; Paradise, B. J.; Millevolte, A. J.; Powell, D. R.; West, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 636, 17. (c) Shimada, S.; Rao, M. L. N.; Tanaka, M. Organometallics 1999, 18, 291. (d) Schmedake, T. A.; Haaf, M.; Paradise, B. J.; Millevolte, A. J.; Powell, D. R.; West, R. Organometallics 2000, 19, 3263.
- (17) (a) Crestani, M. G.; Muñoz-Hernández, M.; Arévalo, A.; Acosta-Ramírez, A.; García, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18066. (b) Mork, B. V.; Tilley, T. D.; Schultz, A. J.; Cowan, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10428
- (18) (a) Clark, H. C.; Shaver, A. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 3462. (b) Green, M. L. H.; Saito, T.; Tanfield, P. J. J. Chem. Soc., A 1971, 152.
- (19) (a) Corey, J. Y.; Braddock-Wilking, J. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 175. (b) Williams, E. A.; Cargioli, J. D. In Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy; Webb, G. A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1979; Vol. 9, p 221.
- (20) (a) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648. (b) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270. (c) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 284. (d) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 299.

JA1052329